### **WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT**

### TABLE OF PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court Telephone: (608) 266-1880 Facsimile: (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov

Wisconsin Supreme Court Case Access: http://wscca.wicourts.gov

The following table describes pending cases the Supreme Court has accepted on petition for review, bypass, certification and original jurisdiction.

The cases included for the first time (that is, the most recently accepted cases) are marked with an \* next to the case number. After the Supreme Court decides a case, the date of oral argument or date of submission on briefs is replaced with the date of the Supreme Court decision and abbreviated mandate. That mandate will generally be listed in the table for two months and then the case will be removed from the table.

The information in the table, from left to right, is as follows:

- the case number:
- an abbreviated caption of the case (case name);
- a statement of the issue(s);
- the date the Supreme Court accepted the case;
- the method by which the case came to the Supreme Court: REVW = Petition for review, CERT = Certification, CERQ = Certified Question, BYPA = Petition to bypass, ORIG = Original Action, WRIT = Petition for supervisory writ, REMD = Remanded from the U.S. Supreme Court;
- the date of oral argument or submission on briefs; or the date of the Supreme Court decision and an abbreviated mandate:
- the Court of Appeals district from which the case came, if applicable; the county;
- the date of the Court of Appeals decision, if applicable;
- whether the Court of Appeals decision is published or unpublished, and, if it is published, the citations to the public domain citation and the official reports for the Court of Appeals decision.

The statement of the issue is cursory and does not purport to be an all-inclusive, precise statement of the issues in the case. Readers interested in a case should determine the precise nature of the issues from the record and briefs filed with the Supreme Court.

The following table covers cases accepted and decisions issued through **August 22, 2017.** Please direct any comments regarding this table to the Clerk of Supreme Court, P.O. Box 1688, Madison, WI 53701-1688, telephone (608)266-1880.

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2012AP2377 (consolidated with 2015AP870)	Debra K. Sands v. John R. Menard, Jr.  May a fiancé/cohabitant use alleged noncompliance with Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 20:1.8(a) ("Rule 1.8(a)") as a defense to a civil suit for unjust enrichment under Watts v. Watts, 137 Wis. 2d 506, 405 N.W.2d 303 (Wis. 1987)?	01/20/2017 REVW Oral Arg 09/12/2017	3 Eau Claire	10/26/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 76 372 Wis. 2d 126 887 N.W.2d 94
	If Rule 1.8(a) can be raised as a defense to a <u>Watts</u> claim arising from a long-term romantic relationship, may a non-attorney cohabitant be found to have waived, ratified, or be estopped to assert the other cohabitant's alleged non-compliance with Rule 1.8(a)?			
	If the Court of Appeals had considered the issues of waiver, ratification, and estoppel, does the record contain sufficient evidence to create genuine issues of fact precluding summary judgment?			
	Can a lawyer invoke the discovery rule to bar a client's claim for breach of fiduciary duty under the applicable statute of limitations, where the lawyer, despite obligations under SCR 20:1.7(b) allegedly concealed the conflicts of interest that gave rise to such claims?			
2014AP1623-CR	State v. Raymond L. Nieves  Did the admission of a co-defendant's nontestimonial statement at a joint trial violate this defendant's Sixth Amendment right to confront the witnesses against him given that, after the change in confrontation law initiated by Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36 (2004), "only testimonial statements are excluded by the Confrontation Clause?" Giles v. California, 554 U.S. 353, 376 (2008).	09/13/2016 REVW Reversed and remanded 06/29/2017 2017 WI 69	1 Milwaukee	Unpub.
	Even if <u>Bruton v. United States</u> , 391 U.S. 123 (1968)] prohibits the admission of a non-testifying co-defendant's nontestimonial statements, did the admission of this defendant's statement at trial violate his confrontation rights when other testimony about the statement did not say that the defendant was involved in the crimes, but instead used "they" to refer to the perpetrators?			
	Was any <u>Bruton</u> violation harmless error in light of the strong evidence against the defendant?			

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

	(000) 200-1000				
Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision	
2014AP2420	Estate of Stanley G. Miller v. Diane Storey  Whether statutory claims are considered tort claims for purposes of Wis. Stat. § 799.01(1).	01/09/2017 REVW Oral Arg 09/12/2017	3 Marathon	09/28/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 68 371 Wis. 2d 669	
	Whether Wis. Stat. § 895.446(3) allows for recovery of attorney's fees to a prevailing plaintiff.			885 N.W.2d 787	
	Whether the appellate court abused its discretion by taking up arguments improperly placed before the court in an appellate brief.				
2015AP175	<u>Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Thomas P. Wuensch</u>	02/13/2017 REVW Oral Arg	4 La Crosse		
	Whether a trial court may accept as proven fact that plaintiff in a residential foreclosure action possesses the original promissory note at issue when counsel presented the originally executed ( <i>i.e.</i> , "wet-ink") note to the court and Wis. Stat. § 909.02(9) provides that commercial paper, such as promissory notes, are self-authenticating.	10/02/2017			
	Whether the court of appeals, after summarily reversing a judgment of foreclosure under Wis. Stat. § (Rule) 809.21(1), should have remanded the case to the trial court to allow petitioner an opportunity to provide sworn testimony that it possesses the note.				
2015AP231	John Krueger v. Appleton Area School District Board of Education  Whether a formal committee, created by school district officials, pursuant to school district policies, in order to carry out school district functions, is a "governmental body" subject	10/11/2016 REVW Reversed and remanded 06/29/2017 2017 WI 70	3 Outagamie	Unpub.	
	to the Open Meetings Act. (Wis. Stat. § 19.82(1))  Whether, if the committee is a "governmental body," it met in violation of the Open Meetings Act.				
2015AP330	State v. David Hager, Jr.	05/15/2017	3	02/22/2017	
	Effective December 14, 2013, a circuit court must grant a committed Chapter 980 patient a discharge hearing if the patient's petition alleges facts from which a factfinder "would likely conclude" that the patient's condition has changed so that he no longer meets the criteria for commitment as a sexually violent person. Wis. Stat. § 980.09(2) (2013–14). When circuit courts are determining whether a patient has met this higher "would likely conclude" standard, can the courts now compare the newly proffered evidence with evidence already in the record and submitted by the State to determine whether to grant a discharge trial?	REVW	Chippewa	Pub. 2017 WI App 8 373 Wis. 2d 692 892 N.W.2d 740	

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2015AP450-CR	State v. Adam M. Blackman  Whether the circuit court properly suppressed a defendant's warrantless blood test on the grounds that he was allegedly unconstitutionally coerced into taking the test.	12/19/2016 REVW Reversed and remanded 07/07/2017 2017 WI 77	2 Fond du Lac	09/28/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 69 371 Wis. 2d 635 886 N.W.2d 94
	Whether the circuit court properly suppressed a defendant's blood test where the defendant was allegedly unconstitutionally coerced into taking the blood test under the totality of the circumstances.			
	Whether Section 343.305(3)(ar)2 is unconstitutional on its face and as applied because it coerces consent to otherwise unconstitutional searches without due process of law.			
2015AP583	Jerome Movrich v. David J. Lobermeier  Does the Wisconsin public trust doctrine allow the respondent upland lot owners to install a dock onto or over a portion of the Sailor Creek Flowage bed, the record title to which bed is privately owned in fee by the petitioners, not by the State of Wisconsin in trust, as in instances of a natural lake?	03/13/2017 REVW Oral Arg 09/20/2017	3 Price	12/21/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 90 372 Wis. 2d 724 889 N.W.2d 454
	Does the Wisconsin public trust doctrine allow the respondent upland lot owners to directly access the water of the Sailor Creek Flowage from their upland lot where the record title to the flowage bed is privately owned in fee by petitioners, not by the State of Wisconsin in trust, as in instances of a natural lake?			
	Does the Wisconsin public trust doctrine, in addition to bestowing the <u>public</u> with various recreational rights to and uses of navigable water, also effect the transfer of <u>private</u> property interests in instances of privately owned flowage bed?			
2015AP643	North Highland Inc. v. Jefferson Machine & Tool Inc.  Is the amount of money that a company bids on a contract "information" protectable as a trade secret under Wis. Stat. § 134.90(1)I, when it has value through secrecy meeting the requirements of Wis. Stat. § 134.90(1)I(1)-(2)?	09/13/2016 REVW <b>Affirmed</b> 07/06/2017 2017 WI 75	4 Jefferson	Unpub.
	In a covenant not to sue one defendant, can a plaintiff maintain suit against other defendants for any of the following: (a) conspiracy among all defendants to violate covenanted defendant's fiduciary duties to plaintiff, (b) aiding and abetting covenanted defendant's breach of fiduciary duties to plaintiff, (c) interference with covenanted defendant's contractual or fiduciary obligations to plaintiff?			

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2015AP648-CR	State v. Anton R. Dorsey  Whether evidence of other criminal acts committed against a person other than the victim are admissible in cases of alleged domestic abuse for the purpose of showing a generalized motive or purpose on the part of the defendant to control persons with whom he or she is in a domestic relationship.	04/10/2017 REVW Oral Arg 10/23/2017	3 Eau Claire	Unpub.
	Whether the other acts testimony presented in this case was relevant to the purpose of proving intent on the part of the defendant to cause bodily harm to the victim.			
2015AP756-CR	State v. Frederick S. Smith  When a police officer performs a lawful traffic stop, is it reasonable for the officer to make contact with the driver to ask for the driver's name and identification and to explain the basis for the stop, even if the reasonable suspicion supporting the stop has dispelled by the time the officer does so?	01/09/2017 REVW Oral Arg 09/05/2017	4 Dane	Unpub.
	When an officer is unable to request a driver's name and identification and explain the basis for a traffic stop because the driver indicates that the driver's side window and door are both broken, is the officer then permitted to open the passenger's side door to achieve that goal?			
2015AP791-CR	State v. Ernesto E. Lazo Villamil  Whether it is proper to determine that a single offense can be punished as either a misdemeanor or felony in order to resolve ambiguity in the statutory language when the legislative intent was to create a penalty scheme with increasing punishment for additional elements (cf., Wis. Stat. § 343.44 (1)(b) (2009 – 10) and Wis. Stat. § 343.44 (2)(ar) 4 (Eff. Mar. 1, 2012).	01/09/2017 REVW Affirmed 07/06/2017 2017 WI 74	2 Waukesha	09/07/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 61 371 Wis. 2d 519 885 N.W.2d 381
	Whether a statute, as interpreted by the court, gives discretion to the prosecution where none was intended by the legislature, [can] be applied constitutionally.			
	Should Wis. Stat. § 343.44(1)(b) be authoritatively construed as though the word "knowingly" did not appear there, to correct an oversight by the Legislature in failing to delete this word when it revised the statute, to clarify the statutory scheme for punishing drivers who cause a death while operating after revocation of their operator's license, and to fully effectuate the Legislature's actual intent?			
	Should Wis. Stat. § 343.44(2)(b) be authoritatively construed to be directory rather than mandatory, so as to provide that a circuit court may, but is not required to, consider the enumerated factors in the exercise of its sentencing discretion, just as it may, but is not required to, consider other proper sentencing factors?			

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2015AP829	Penny L. Springer v. Nohl Electric Products Corporation  Whether the "fraudulent transfer" exception to Wisconsin's general rule against successor liability must be analyzed in the context of Wisconsin's Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act, Wis. Stat. ch. 242, such that the petitioners are subjected to successor liability for a former entity's sale of asbestoscontaining products.	10/11/2016 REVW Oral Arg 10/02/2017	4 Jefferson	Unpub.
2015AP870 (consolidated with 2012AP2377)	Debra K. Sands v. John R. Menard, Jr.  May a fiancé/cohabitant use alleged noncompliance with Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 20:1.8(a) ("Rule 1.8(a)") as a defense to a civil suit for unjust enrichment under Watts v. Watts, 137 Wis. 2d 506, 405 N.W.2d 303 (Wis. 1987)?	01/20/2017 REVW Oral Arg 09/12/2017	3 Eau Claire	10/26/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 76 372 Wis. 2d 126 887 N.W.2d 94
	If Rule 1.8(a) can be raised as a defense to a <u>Watts</u> claim arising from a long-term romantic relationship, may a non-attorney cohabitant be found to have waived, ratified, or be estopped to assert the other cohabitant's alleged non-compliance with Rule 1.8(a)?			
	If the Court of Appeals had considered the issues of waiver, ratification, and estoppel, does the record contain sufficient evidence to create genuine issues of fact precluding summary judgment?			
	Can a lawyer invoke the discovery rule to bar a client's claim for breach of fiduciary duty under the applicable statute of limitations, where the lawyer, despite obligations under SCR 20:1.7(b) allegedly concealed the conflicts of interest that gave rise to such claims?			
2015AP1039	John Y. Westmas v. Selective Insurance Company of South Carolina	03/13/2017 REVW Oral Arg	2 Walworth	12/21/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 92
	Is a company, as the entity in charge of grooming and maintaining trees on recreational land, entitled to immunity under Wis. Stat. § 895.52 as an "agent" of the owner of the land?	10/03/2017		372 Wis. 2d 683 889 N.W.2d 178
	Is a company, as the entity in charge of grooming and maintaining trees on recreational land, entitled to immunity under Wis. Stat. § 895.52 as an "occupant" of the land?			
2015AP1261-CR	State v. Navdeep S. Brar	12/19/2016	4 Dans	Unpub.
	Whether consent justified a warrantless blood draw.	REVW Affirmed	Dane	
	Whether the State proved consent to be voluntary.	07/06/2017 2017 WI 73		

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2015AP1294-CR	State v. Lewis O. Floyd, Jr.  Whether an officer's justification to search is objectively reasonable where the suspect is not observed doing or saying anything suspicious, but cooperating in circumstances that the officer believes are suspicious?	01/10/2017 REVW Affirmed 07/07/2017 2017 WI 78	2 Racine	09/07/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 64 371 Wis. 2d 404 885 N.W.2d 156
	Whether counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present additional evidence to show the suspect did not provide valid consent?			
2015AP1311	State v. Howard Carter  Did the trial court err in denying petitioner a trial on his petition for discharge because 2013 Wis. Act 84 did not apply to this case and counsel was ineffective in not objecting to its application?	05/15/2017 REVW	3 Chippewa	02/22/2017 Pub. 2017 WI App 9 373 Wis. 2d 722 892 N.W.2d 754
	If 2013 Wis. Act 84 applied to this case, should the saving construction applied by the court of appeals in <u>State v. Hager</u> (case no. 2015AP330, 2017 WI App 8, 373 Wis. 2d 692, N.W.2d) be applied and was the petitioner entitled to a discharge trial under that construction?			
	If 2013 Wis. Act 84 applied to this case, was it unconstitutional because it unduly restricted access to the courts for persons committed under chapter 980 seeking to terminate their commitment?			

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2015AP1331	In Re: Partnership Health Plan, Inc. v. Office of the Commissioner of Insurance  Is Community Health Partnership, Inc. ("CHP"), as the sole member of the nonstock insurance corporation, Partnership Health Plan, Inc. ("PHP"), the owner of PHP entitled under Wis. Stat. § 645.68(11) to be paid the surplus funds remaining in PHP's Wis. Stats. ch. 645 liquidation proceeding after all of	04/10/2017 4 REVW Dane Oral Arg 10/03/2017	Unpub.	
	PHP's liabilities have been satisfied?  Does the Commissioner of Insurance (the "Commissioner"), as the Wis. Stat. ch. 645 liquidator of PHP, have authority under applicable law to recommend a 501©(3) charitable organization to which to pay the surplus funds of PHP remaining after all PHP's liabilities have been satisfied, and does the circuit court have subject matter jurisdiction to make that determination upon the Commissioner's recommendation?			
	Did PHP's board of directors have authority under PHP's articles of incorporation to direct that any surplus funds in PHP's Wis. Stat. ch. 645 liquidation proceeding be paid to CHP, a section 501©(3) charitable organization, for payment of CHP's creditors?			
	Is the resolution of PHP's board of directors providing that any surplus funds in PHP's chapter 645 liquidation proceeding be paid to CHP for payment of CHP's creditors, which was part of the PHP board resolution that the Commissioner filed with the circuit court as grounds for his appointment as rehabilitator and subsequently liquidator of PHP, nevertheless invalid because it was not expressly approved by the Commissioner under Wis. Stat. § 617.21(3g), Wis. Admin. Code § Ins 40.04, and a prior order of the Commissioner?			
2015AP1493	The Segregated Account of Ambac Assurance Corporation v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.	10/11/2016 REVW <b>Reversed and</b>	4 Dane	Unpub.
	Does a foreign corporation's appointment of an agent to receive service of process in Wisconsin, as required by Wis. Stat. § 180.1507 when registering to do business here, without more, constitute consent to the general jurisdiction of the Wisconsin courts?	remanded 06/30/2017 2017 WI 71		
	Would requiring a foreign corporation to consent to general jurisdiction of the Wisconsin courts as a condition of doing business in the state violate the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution?			

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2015AP1523	Whether government entry into a citizen's home under Wis. Stat. § 70.47(7)(aa) and § 74.37(4)(a) (which together require property owners to permit interior inspections of homes for tax  REVW  Reversed an remanded 07/07/2017	Reversed and	2 Racine	Unpub.
	Whether warrantless searches under Wis. Stat. § 70.47(7)(aa) and § 74.37(4)(a) are reasonable as a matter of law.			
	Whether Wis. Stat. § 70.47(7)(aa) and § 74.37(4)(a) violate the Due Process Clause by depriving a citizen of any right to appeal a tax assessment if the citizen denies consent to an assessor to conduct an interior inspection of the citizen's home.			
2015AP1530	The Manitowoc Company, Inc. v. John M. Lanning	12/19/2016 REVW	2 Manitowoc	09/28/2016 Pub.
	Whether Wis. Stat. § 103.465, which refers to a "covenant by an assistant, servant or agent not to compete with his or her employer or principal during the term of the employment or agency, or after the termination of that employment or agency," governs non-solicitation of employees ("NSE") clauses, which do not prohibit any individual from competing with his/her former employer.	Oral Arg 09/05/2017	Manitowoc	2016 WI App 72 371 Wis. 2d 696 885 N.W.2d 798
	If Wis. Stat. § 103.465 governs NSE clauses:			
	a. Whether an NSE clause, which does not prohibit competition with the former employer, should be evaluated under the same legal standard(s) as a non- compete clause.			
	<ul> <li>b. Whether the NSE provision unreasonably restrains trade.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Whether the NSE provision is "reasonably necessary" to protect Manitowoc's legitimate business interests.</li> </ul>			
	d. Whether the constitutional right to contract may be infringed through the use of hypothetical scenarios rather than the undisputed facts of a case to invalidate an NSE clause in a contract between an employer and employee.			
2015AP1586	Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Robert R. Stafsholt	04/10/2017	3	Unpub.
	Whether an offset against a principal balance due on a mortgage as an award for attorney fees and costs is proper under the circumstances of the case.	REVW Oral Arg 10/23/2017	St. Croix	

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2015AP1610-CR	State v. Ginger M. Breitzman  Do our constitutional free speech protections prohibit the State from prosecuting one family member for calling another family member rude names inside the privacy of the family home?	03/13/2017 REVW Oral Arg 09/20/2017	1 Milwaukee	Unpub.
	When reviewing a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, the court of appeals must defer to the postconviction court's fact-findings but reviews <u>de novo</u> the legal questions of deficient performance and prejudice. Within this framework, can the court of appeals defer to the legal conclusions of the postconviction court?			
2015AP1904	Mark Halbman v. Mitchell J. Barrock	02/13/2017 REVW	1 Milwoukoo	Unpub.
	Whether the court of appeals erred in affirming the circuit court's grant of the defendant's motion to dismiss on the basis that the plaintiff had failed to establish a <u>prima facie</u> case as to damages.	Oral Arg 09/12/2017	Milwaukee	
	Whether the circuit court erred in ruling that the value of the plaintiff's underlying case was conclusively established at the second trial and therefore, precluding the plaintiff from introducing evidence of the first jury verdict.			
2015AP1970 (consolidated	Donald J. Thoma v. Village of Slinger	06/15/2017 REVW	2 Washington	
with 2016AP2528)	The Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual states that classification of land as agricultural use "is based solely on whether use of the parcel is agricultural in nature." In that context, does an injunction prohibiting agricultural use override the agricultural tax classification of a property that is being used for agricultural purposes?	NEVVV	vvaoi iii gion	
2015AP1989	Tracie L. Flug v. LIRC	11/14/2016 REVW	3 Chippowa	Unpub.
	Does Wis. Stat. § 102.42(1m) require a worker's compensation claimant to prove that invasive treatment was related to a compensable work injury?	Reversed 06/30/2017 2017 WI 72	Chippewa	
	Does Wis. Stat. § 102.42(1m) apply to invasive treatment that is not related to the employee's work injury, regardless of whether the treatment is medically necessary, or does it only apply to invasive treatment that is related to a work injury and determined to be medically unnecessary?			
2015AP2019	Tetra Tech EC, Inc., v. Wisconsin Department of Revenue	04/24/2017 DEV/M	3 Brown	01/26/2017
	Whether a subcontractor's services are subject to Wisconsin sales and use tax (see Wis. Stat. § 77.52).	REVW	Brown	Pub. 2017 WI App 4 373 Wis. 2d 287
	Does the practice of deferring to agency interpretations of statutes comport with Article VII, Section 2 of the Wisconsin Constitution, which vests the judicial power in the unified court system?			890 N.W.2d 598

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2015AP2041-CR	State v. Jose Alberto Reyes Fuerte  Now that criminal defense attorneys are obligated to advise their clients about the immigration consequences of their pleas (Padilla v. Kentucky, 559 U.S. 356 (2010)), should the Wisconsin Supreme Court overturn its decision in State v. Douangmala, 2002 WI 62, 253 Wis. 2d 173, 646 N.W.2d 1, and reinstate the harmless error rule where a defendant who was aware of the potential immigration consequences of his plea attempts to withdraw the plea after the circuit court failed to give a statutory immigration warning that complied with Wis. Stat. § 971.08(1)©?	01/18/2017 REVW Oral Arg 09/05/2017	4 Columbia	10/26/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 78 372 Wis. 2d 106 887 N.W.2d 121
2015AP2052-CR	State v. Kenneth M. Asboth, Jr.  Must a community-caretaker impoundment of a vehicle be governed by "standard criteria" limiting the discretion of law enforcement officers and, if so, was an impoundment made in accordance with such criteria?	01/09/2017 REVW Affirmed 07/06/2017 2017 WI 76	4 Dodge	Unpub.
	Was an impoundment a valid community caretaker action where the vehicle was parked at a private storage facility? Relatedly, does the Constitution require the state to show that a community caretaker impoundment and search is not a pretext concealing criminal investigatory motives?			
2015AP2224	Wisconsin Association of State Prosecutors v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission  The Legislature delegated to the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission (Commission) authority to promulgate reasonable rules of governing annual elections for bargaining representatives. Here, the Commission promulgated rules requiring that interested associations, including current representatives, give notice by petition that they wish to appear on the next annual election's ballot. The issue is whether that rule is unreasonable in light of the annual election statutes.	02/13/2017 REVW Oral Arg 09/15/2017	1 Milwaukee	11/16/2016 Pub. 2016 WI App 85 372 Wis. 2d 347 888 N.W.2d 237
	An issue raised in the court of appeals by the plaintiffs concerns the timing of decertification. This issue only arises if the Commission has authority to decertify for failure to timely file a petition.			
2015AP2328-CR	State v. Shaun M. Sanders  Can a person be criminally responsible for acts allegedly committed before the age of original juvenile court jurisdiction?	06/12/2017 REVW	2 Waukesha	04/26/2017 Pub. 2017 WI App 22 375 Wis. 2d 248 895 N.W.2d 41

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
*2015AP2356	Archie A. Talley v. Mustafa Mustafa  Does a negligent supervision claim extend to wrongful acts committed by a person with only a "special relationship" to the employer as opposed to an actual employee?	07/11/2017 REVW	1 Milwaukee	05/31/2017 Pub. 2017 WI App 31 375 Wis. 2d 757 897 N.W.2d 55
	When both the insurance company and the policyholder agree that an insurance policy does not provide coverage for allegations in a lawsuit, should that agreement be respected as the intent of the contracting parties?			
	Whether "negligent supervision" or an intentional tort can be an "occurrence" or "accident" causing injury.			
2015AP2375	Milwaukee Police Association v. City of Milwaukee	06/12/2017 REVW	1 Milwaukee	Unpub.
	Whether a municipality may lawfully disregard specific requirements the legislature has placed on the municipality by passing an ordinance at odds with the law.	IXE V VV	Milwaukee	
	Whether Home Rule allows a city to avoid mandates identified by the legislature in the Session Laws of 1937 and 1947.			
	Whether the Session Laws of 1937 and 1947 vested Employee's Retirement System (ERS) members with the right to vote for and seat ERS board members.			
	Whether the court's decision is in conflict with <u>Van Gilder v. City of Madison</u> , 222 Wis. 58, 267 N.W. 25 (1936) and <u>Johnston v. City of Sheboygan</u> , 30 Wis. 2d 179, 140 N.W.2d 247 (1966).			
2015AP2429-CR	State v. Shannon Olance Hendricks	05/15/2017 REVW	1 Milwaukee	Unpub.
	Do Wisconsin Stat. § 971.08(1) and <u>State v. Bangert</u> , 131 Wis. 2d 246, 389 N.W.2d 12 (1986) require that a defendant entering a guilty plea to child enticement with intent to have sexual contact understands the meaning of "sexual contact"?	Oral Arg 10/02/2017	Milwaukee	
*2015AP2457	Cintas Corp. No. 2 v. Becker Property Services LLC	07/18/2017 REVW	1 Milwaukee	Unpub.
	Whether an indemnification clause can be interpreted to provide indemnification for an indemnitee's alleged negligence when the indemnification clause does not expressly state so.	IXE V VV	Willwaukee	
	Whether the choice of law provision (designating Ohio law) in the contract is enforceable.			
2015AP2506-CR	State v. Daniel J. H. Bartelt	06/15/2017 REVW	2 Washington	04/26/2017 Pub.
	After confessing to an attempted homicide or other serious crime, would a reasonable person feel free to terminate a police interview and leave an interrogation room, such that the person is not "in custody" for Miranda (Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966)) purposes?	KLVVV	wasiiiigwii	2017 WI App 23 375 Wis. 2d 148 895 N.W.2d 86
	After a confession, did a defendant clearly and unequivocally invoke his right to counsel?			

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2015AP2667-CR/ 2015AP2668-CR	State v. Gerrod R. Bell  Did the prosecutor's statements, which began in voir dire and continued in closing argument, deprive the defendant of a fair trial by shifting the burden of proof, depriving him of the benefits of a reasonable doubt instruction and commenting on his decision not to testify where the prosecutor told the jury that in order to find the defendant not guilty:	03/13/2107 REVW Oral Arg 10/23/2017	4 Monroe	Unpub.
	<ul> <li>they "have to believe" or "must believe" that the victims were lying about the alleged assaults; and</li> </ul>			
	• there must be evidence of a reason for the victims to lie and the defendant has presented no reason, just speculation?			
	Was the defendant denied the right to effective assistance of counsel because the jury was given two unredacted exhibits containing information that one victim had never had sexual intercourse until she was assaulted by the defendant?			
2016AP21	Metropolitan Associates v. City of Milwaukee	03/13/2017 REVW	1 Milwaukee	Unpub.
	Whether the lower courts erred in determining that the City of Milwaukee complied with Wisconsin property assessment law, including the mandate of Wis. Stat. § 70.32(1) that the assessor utilize the best information available, in valuing the subject property for tax years 2008-2011 and holding that the City's assessments were valid and proper.	Oral Arg 09/15/2017	Willwaukee	
	Whether the lower courts erred in holding that Metropolitan Associates failed to overcome the initial presumption of correctness contained in Wis. Stat. § 70.49.			
2016AP173-CR	State v. Brian Grandberry  As a matter of law, is there sufficient evidence to convict a person for carrying a concealed weapon (CCW), contrary to Wis. Stat. § 941.23, if the firearm is being transported in a vehicle in full compliance with the safe transport statute, Wis. Stat. § 167.31?  Is the CCW statute void for vagueness as applied to a person who transports a firearm in a vehicle in full compliance with the	03/13/2017 REVW Oral Arg 09/20/2017	1 Milwaukee	Unpub.
	safe transport statute?			
2016AP238-CR	State v. Michael L. Washington  May a defendant, by voluntary absence or other conduct, waive the statutory right to be present at trial before the trial has begun?	04/10/2017 REVW Oral Arg 10/03/2017	2 Racine	01/26/2017 Pub. 2017 WI App 6 373 Wis. 2d 214 890 N.W.2d 592
2016AP474	CED Properties, LLC v. City of Oshkosh	05/15/2017	2	Unpub.
	Whether a plaintiff created a genuine issue of material fact such that the case should not have been decided on summary judgment.	REVW	Winnebago	

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	(000) 200-1000 Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/	CA Decision
2016AP619	Winnebago County v. J.M.	05/15/2017 REVW	Cty 2 Winnebago	Unpub.
	Whether the subject of a Wis. Stat. § 51.20(I)(a) extension of involuntary commitment and involuntary medication order has a claim for ineffective assistance of trial counsel where his lawyer fails to object to, prevent the admission of, or request a curative instruction to address, evidence of his prisoner status during his jury trial?			
	Whether the subject of a Wis. Stat. § 51.20(I)(a) extension of commitment is entitled to a new trial in the interests of justice where the jury repeatedly sees and hears evidence of his prisoner status?			
2016AP832	Horizon Bank, National Association v. Marshalls Point Retreat LLC	05/15/2017 REVW	3 Door	Unpub.
	Where a foreclosure on mortgaged premises involves a guarantor, does Wis. Stat. § 846.165 require the trial court to determine the amount to be credited against the guarantor's obligation before confirming a sheriff's sale, or does the trial court have discretion to reach that issue later?			
	If the trial court must determine the amount to be credited against a guarantor's obligation in connection with confirming a sheriff's sale, does the guarantor have a due process right to present evidence on the question of fair value?			
2016AP866-CR	State v. Diamond J. Arberry	06/15/2017 REVW	2 Fond du Lac	04/26/2017 Pub.
	When a defendant is eligible for expungement, but it is not addressed at a sentencing hearing, can the defendant raise this issue in a postconviction motion? <u>See State v. Matasek,</u> 2014 WI 27, 353 Wis. 2d 601, 846 N.W.2d 811.	KLVVV	rond du Lac	2017 WI App 26 375 Wis. 2d 179 895 N.W.2d 100
	Did the circuit court err in its exercise of discretion when it denied expungement eligibility but gave reasons for doing so that could apply to any case?			
2016AP1365	Wisconsin DWD v. Wisconsin LIRC	06/15/2017 REVW	2 Ozaukee	04/26/2017 Pub.
	Whether LIRC erred in not applying the absence standard specified by an employer because that standard was stricter that the statutory disqualification standard applicable when the employer has no policy. See Wis. Stat. § 108.04 (5) €.	IVE A AA	Ozaunee	2017 WI App 29 375 Wis. 2d 183 895 N.W.2d 77

# WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Clerk of Supreme Court (608) 266-1880

Case No.	Caption/Issue(s)	SC Accepted	CA Dist/ Cty	CA Decision
2016AP1980-W	Department of Natural Resources v. Wisconsin Court of Appeals, District IV	02/14/2017 WRIT Oral Arg	4 Dane	
	Whether Wis. Stat. § 752.21 (2) applies in this case to allow the petitioner to designate the appellate court that will hear its appeal.	09/15/2017		
	Whether the petitioner has established the criteria to justify the issuance of an extraordinary writ. (See e.g., <u>State ex rel. Kalal v. Circuit Court for Dane Co.</u> , 2004 WI 58, ¶ 17, 271 Wis. 2d 633, 681 N.W. 2d 110)			
*2016AP2196-CR	State v. Steven T. Delap	07/18/2017 REVW	4 Dodge	Unpub.
	Whether the doctrine of hot pursuit always justifies a forcible warrantless entry into the residence of a person suspected of misdemeanor criminal activity.	IXL V VV	Dodge	
*2016AP2214	Madison Teachers, Inc. v. James R. Scott	07/18/2017 BYPA	4 Dane	
	Whether public policy against voter intimidation in certification elections outweighs public policy – and presumption – in favor of disclosing public records.			
2016AP2528 (consolidated with 2015AP1970)	Donald J. Thoma v. Village of Slinger	06/15/2017 BYPA	2 Washington	
	Whether an assessor's testimony regarding Department of Revenue guidance constitutes a reason for relief under Wis. Stat. § 806.07 (1) (h).		and in grant	